

CONTENTS

PART 1

HOW THE INTERVENTION FRAUD WAS MASTERMINDED BY PARLIAMENT AND THE JUDICIARY,
AND HOW THE LAW SOCIETY AND THE JUDICIARY HAVE PERPETRATED IT FOR HALF A CENTURY

A MATERIALS		
1	THE LAW SOCIETY'S CRUEL AND INHUMAN TREATMENT OF SOLICITORS	1-10
2	TABLES	11-18
3	DIAGRAMS RELATING TO PROCEDURE	19-42
4	THE LAW SOCIETY'S THEFTS AND MONEY LAUNDERING: TABLES; DIAGRAMS; FLOWCHARTS	43-70
5	DOCUMENTS	71-83
6	LAW AND LEGAL PRINCIPLES	84-152
7	LITERARY REFERENCES	152-157
B HOW THE INTERVENTION FRAUD WORKS		
1	WHAT'S REALLY BEHIND FRAUDULENT INTERVENTIONS? 'WELL FIRST OF ALL, TELL ME: IS THERE SOME SOCIETY YOU KNOW THAT DOESN'T RUN ON GREED?' MILTON FREEDMAN	
1)	DIAGRAMS AND TABLES	143-159
2)	THE PRACTICING CERTIFICATE FEE FRAUD	160-174
3)	THE COMPENSATION FUND FRAUD	175-178
4)	THE FRAUDULENT INTERVENTION FUND (MONEY AND PROPERTY DISCOVERED ON OR FOLLOWING INTERVENTION)	179-202
2	HOW, FOR NEARLY HALF A CENTURY, JUDGES HAVE BEEN DETERMINING THE WRONG APPLICATION WITH THE WRONG WORDING MADE IN THE WRONG PROCEDURE IN INTERVENTIONS WHICH HAVE NEVER TAKEN PLACE UNDER PROVISIONS WHICH PARLIAMENT HAS NOT KNOWINGLY ENACTED	
1)	THE LAWFUL INTERVENTION PROCEDURE AND THE LAW SOCIETY'S FRAUDULENT INTERVENTION PROCEDURE	203-292

3	<u>ANAL SHEIKH V THE LAW SOCIETY [2005] EWHC 1409 (CH), ANAL SHEIKH V THE LAW SOCIETY [2006] EWCA CIV 1577, ANAL SHEIKH V THE LAW SOCIETY [2007] HL AND ANAL SHEIKH V THE UK GOVERNMENT 51144/07 [2010] ECHR 649 (23 APRIL 2010)</u>	
1)	SOME ONE MUST HAVE BEEN TELLING LIES ABOUT JOSEF K. HE KNEW HE HAD DONE NOTHING WRONG BUT, ONE MORNING, HE WAS ARRESTED' <u>FRANS KAFKA, THE TRIAL 1914 -1915</u>	293-307
2)	THE ALLEGATIONS WHICH IMPLY THE PILFERING OF CLIENTS' MONEY. THE STOCK ALLEGATIONS IN EVERY INTERVENTION	307-313
3)	CASE FIXING. TREVERTON JONES KC BRIBED WITH THE £254,000 SHEIKH – NRAM REMORTGAGE MONEY AND OTHER INDUCEMENTS TO TRY AND LOSE <u>SHEIKH V THE LAW SOCIETY (HIGH COURT 2005)</u>	314-337
4)	WHAT HAPPENED AFTER PARK J DISMANTLED THE INTERVENTION FRAUD BY HIS JUDGMENT <u>IN ANAL SHEIKH V THE LAW SOCIETY</u>	338-376
4	SEPTEMBER 2007-OCTOBER 2007, THE RED RIVER CONVEYANCING AND MORTGAGE FRAUD. LORD BRIGGS OF WESTBOURNE, LORD KITCHIN, SIR COLIN RIMER, SIR JOHN CHADWICK, THE LAW SOCIETY AND OTHERS STEAL THE TITLE TO THE STOKE NEWINGTON DEVELOPMENT SITE	
1)	HOW MANY OTHER CLIENTS' TITLES HAVE BEEN STOLEN BY THE LAW SOCIETY FOLLOWING FRAUDULENT INTERVENTIONS INTO THEIR SOLICITOR'S FIRMS?	377-381
2)	BACKGROUND TO THE RED RIVER CONVEYANCING AND MORTGAGE FRAUD	382
3)	THE TYPOLOGIES OF THE RED RIVER CONVEYANCING AND MORTGAGE FRAUD	383-406
4)	REGARDLESS OF WHETHER BRIGGS WAS A JUDGE OR A THIEF, WHY WHAT BRIGGS DID ON 2 OCTOBER 2007 PRESENTS THE GREATEST EXISTENTIAL THREAT THIS NATION HAS EVER FACED	407-454
5)	THE RED RIVER JUDGES' SEVEN ATTEMPTS TO COMPLETE STAGE 1 OF THE RIVER CONVEYANCING AND MORTGAGE FRAUD 26 AUGUST 2007-6 OCTOBER 2007	455-689
5	ARE THOSE IN POWER STUPID, CORRUPT OR TERRIFIED? THE STATE'S RESPONSES TO THE LAW SOCIETY'S INTERVENTION FRAUD AND THE RED RIVER CONVEYANCING AND MORTGAGE FRAUD.	
1)	TWO OF THE MOST INFANTILE FRAUDS CONCEIVABLE	690-695
2)	IS THE JUDICIARY TERRORISING PARLIAMENT?	695-729
3)	ARE SOME JUDGES TERRORISING OTHER JUDGES ? THE TWO OR THREE BITS OF PAPER RECEIVED FROM THE COURT OF APPEAL ABOUT THE MOST PREPOSTEROUS FRAUD IN THE 400 YEAR HISTORY OF CONVEYANCING	729-762
4)	ARE THE CRIMINAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES BEING TERRORISED BY THE JUDICIARY? THE TWO OR THREE BITS OF PAPER RECEIVED FROM LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ABOUT THE MOST PREPOSTEROUS FRAUD IN THE 400 YEAR HISTORY OF CONVEYANCING	762-792
5)	IS THE GOVERNMENT BEING TERRORISED (OR BRIBED) BY THE JUDICIARY? WHAT THE GOVERNMENT SAYS ABOUT THE MOST PREPOSTEROUS FRAUD IN	793-795

	400 YEARS OF CONVEYANCING	
6)	ARE REGULATORS BEING TERRORISED (OR BRIBED) BY THE JUDICIARY?	796-822
7)	THE FIGHT AGAINST CORRUPTION	823-841
8)	ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS INFILTRATED BY CORRUPTION NETWORKS	842-844
9)	FAMILIAL CORRUPTION NETWORKS	844-860

C WHY ALL INTERVENTIONS UNDER THE LAW SOCIETY'S UNLAWFUL PROCEDURE HAVE TO BE SET ASIDE *EX DEBITO JUSTICIA* (AS OF RIGHT)

D HOW FRAUDULENT INTERVENTIONS WORK

1 THE BOGUS PROCEDURES USED IN THE LAW SOCIETY'S INTERVENTION FRAUD

1)	ADJUDICATIONS WEAPONISED TO WEAR DOWN THE SOLICITOR AND TO STEAL HIS COSTS	860-901
2)	BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS	902-950
3)	BOGUS REPORTS COMPARTMENTALIZATION OF INFORMATION .THE CHINESE WALL PRINCIPLE	951-971
4)	BOGUS PANEL DECISION	972-973

2 THE REAL PURPOSE OF INVESTIGATIONS: THEFT OF DATA, WEAPONIZATION OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION STOLEN FROM THE SOLICITOR MONEY LAUNDERING.. THE IMITATION OF COURT PROCEEDINGS.

1)	INVESTIGATION USED AS A PRETEXT TO STEAL INFORMATION, DOCUMENTS AND DATA	974-976
2)	STOLEN DOCUMENTS MANIPULATED, FORGED AND FALSIFIED BY THE LAW SOCIETY TO FABRICATE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST SOLICITOR	977
3)	DIAGRAM SHOWING HOW LANGUAGE MANIPULATION IS USED TO FALSELY ALLEGE AN HONEST SOLICITOR IS DISHONEST	977-978
4)	BLACKMAIL AND BRIBERY	979
5)	PANEL CHAIRMAN BRIBED TO SIGN VESTING RESOLUTION	979
6)	THE ABSURD PROPOSITIONS	979-990
7)	THE THREE STAGES OF MONEY LAUNDERING	990-991
8)	THE FRAUDULENT INTERVENTION PROCEDURE DESIGNED TO IMITITATE SUBSTANTIVE COURT PROCEDURES- DIAGRAM	991-992

9)	PLACEMENT BY THE LAW SOCIETY. STOLEN DOCUMENTS AND DATA CONVERTED INTO A BOGUS INTERVENTION	993
10)	PLACEMENT BY BARRISTERS . FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS AND ABSURD PROPOSITIONS CONVERTED INTO BOGUS LITIGATION	993
11)	PLACEMENT BY THE HIGH COURT	993-1026
12)	PLACEMENT AND LAYERING BY TIMOTHY DUTTON KC IN THE COURT OF APPEAL	1027-1028
13)	INTEGRATION BY TIMOTHY DUTTON KC AND PATRICIA ROBERTSON KC USING <u>AHMED & CO, BIEBUYCK, DIXON & CO AND THE PRACTICES OF MR ZOI AND IN THE MATTER OF SECTIONS 35 AND 36 AND SCHEDULES 1 AND 2 OF THE SOLICITORS ACT 1974 AND IN THE MATTER OF THE LAW SOCIETY COMPENSATION FUND RULES 1995 (2009)</u>	1028-1031
14)	DIAGRAMS	1032-1034
3	THE FRAUDULENT CASH SHORTAGE ALLEGATION (£41,125)	1035-1038
4	ROUND SUM TRANSFERS: HOW THE LAW SOCIETY GENERATED £5M IN LEGAL FEES FROM 6 YEARS OF LITIGATION INVOLVING 125 LAWYERS, WHEN ALL IT HAD TO DO WAS TO OPEN A FILE AND LOOK AT IT	
1)	SARAH BARTETT'S FRAUDULENT FORENSIC REPORT TO THE PANEL	1039
2)	AN ACCOUNTING RULE BREACH SO EASILY DISCOVERABLE, A TEN YEAR OLD CHILD COULD SAY WHETHER A SOLCITOR HAS OR HAS NOT COMMITTED IT	1039-1040
3)	THE ROUND SUM TRANFER RULE	1041-1048
4)	A NON EXISTENT ALLEGATION. THE LAW SOCIETY PRETENDS THE WORDS 'ON ACCOUNT' DO NOT EXIST	1049
5)	ASHLEY & CO'S PRACTICE OF BATCH POSTING	1050-1053
6)	WHAT AN INVESTIGATING SOLICITOR WOULD HAVE DONE	1053-1054
7)	WHO THE ' INVESTIGATORS' WERE	1054-1056
8)	THE TOTALITY OF THE INTERVIEW RECORDS RELATING TO ROUND SUM TRANSFERS	1056-1065
9)	DAVID SHAW NEVER SAID THERE WERE ROUND SUM TRANSFERS AND DOES NOT KNOW WHAT A ROUND SUM TRANSFER	1066-1069
10)	SHAW, NOW FED UP WITH LYING ADMITS TO THE SOLICITORS DISCIPLINARY TRIBUNAL THAT IT WAS COUNSEL WHO HAD SAID THERE WERE ROUND SUM TRANSFERS; HE HAD NEVER SAID IT	1069
11)	THE LAW SOCIETY MAKES SHAW FORGE HIS RECORDS	1070-1076

12)	MIKE CALVERT SAID SHAW HAD SAID THERE WERE ROUND SUM TRANSFERS: CALVERT'S FRAUDULENT LETTER TO MIDDLETON	1076-1090
13)	SARAH BARTLETT TOLD CHARLES SNEARY (THE PANEL) THAT CALVERT SAID SHAW HAD SAID THERE WERE ROUND SUM TRANSFERS	1090-1092
14)	NO ONE KNOWS WHAT CHARLES SNEARY READ, HEARD, THOUGHT OR KNEW ABOUT ROUND SUM TRANSFERS	1093-1095
15)	DAVID SHAW, MIKE CALVERT, DAVID MIDDLETON AND SARAH BARTLETT COMMIT PERJURY AT TRIAL	1095-1145
16)	TABLES	1146-1149
5	THE 'SMOKING GUN' ROUND SUM TRANSFER (STRUPCWESTKI £25 COPYIG BILL NOTIFIED IN ESTATE ACCOUNTS, BUT NOT ENTERED IN OFFICE ACCOUNT)	1150-1151
6	OVERCHARGING (THIRKETTLE)	
1)	HOW SOLICITORS COSTS ARE ASSESSED WHERE THERE IS A COMPLAINT	1152-1158
2)	THEFT DISTINGUISHED FROM OVERCHARGING	1158-1159
3)	HOW THE LAW SOCIETY MANAGES TO INTERVENE ON ALLEGATIONS OF OVERCHARGING WHEN NO ONE HAS COMPLAINED ABOUT THE BILL	1160-1202
4)	THE FRAUDULENT CASH SHORTAGE ALLEGATION (THIRKETTLE)	1202-1220
5)	APPENDIX.	1221-1319
7	TIMOTHY DUTTON KC 'S FRAUDULENT ADVICE TO THE LAW SOCIETY'S HIGH PROFILE LITIGATION COMMITTEE. MONEY LAUNDERING STAGE 2 LAYERING.	
1)	PARK J'S JUDGMENT IN <u>ANAL SHEIKH V THE LAW SOCIETY (HIGH COURT 2005</u> SPELLED THE END OF THE LAW SOCIETY'S INTERVENTION FRAUD	1320-1340
2)	THE LAW SOCIETY'S CRUEL AND INHUMAN TREATMENT OF SOLICITORS	1341-1350
2)	PARK'S J'S FINDINGS	1351-1402
3)	LEGEND	1403
4)	SARAH BARTLETT'S FRAUDULENT REPORT TO THE PANEL	1404-1421
5)	TIMOTHY DUTTON'S FRAUDULENT ADVICE	1422-1452
6)	DUTTON'S ADVICE, A FRAUDULENT INSTRUMENT AND AN INSTRUMENT OF FRAUD	1453-1606

8	THE CONSPIRACY BETWEEN THE LAW SOCIETY, TREVERTON JONES, RADCLIFFES, SAFFRON, DUTTON AND OTHERS TO STEAL THE £254,000 SHEIKH –NRAM REMORTAGE MONIES	
1)	LAW AND LEGAL PRINCIPLES	1607-1619
2)	DOCUMENTS	1620-1624
3)	COMPLETION OF THE £ 254.000 SHEIKH –NRAM REMORTAGE OCTOBER 2004- 17 FEBRUARY 2005	1625-1626
4)	WHAT WOULD HAVE HAPPENED TO £ 254.000 SHEIKH –NRAM REMORTAGE MONIES UNDER THE LAWFUL INTERVENTION PROCEDURE	1627-1633
5)	WHAT HAPPENED TO £ 254.000 SHEIKH –NRAM REMORTAGE MONIES UNDER THE LAW SOCIETY'S FRAUDULENT INTERVENTION PROCEDURE	1633-1636
6)	CALENDAR	1636-1637
7)	THE NINE ATTEMPTS TO STEAL AND LAUNDER THE £254,000 SHEIKH-NRAM REMORTGAGE MONIES	1638-1719
8)	THE SEVENTH ATTEMPT TO STEAL THE £254,000 SHEIKH –NRAM REMORTAGE MONIES CASE FIXING. TREVERTON JONES KC BRIBED WITH THE £254,000 SHEIKH –NRAM REMORTGAGE MONEY AND OTHER INDUCEMENTS TO TRY AND LOSE <u>SHEIKH V THE LAW SOCIETY (HIGH COURT 2005</u>	1719-1722
9)	PAUL SAFFRON'S ACTUAL THEFT OF THE £254,000 SHEIKH-NRAM REMORTAGE MONIES	1722-1723
10)	HOW THE LAW SOCIETY AND THE COURT LAUNDERED THE £254,000 SHEIKH-NRAM REMORTAGE MONIES	1724-1762

9	<u>AHMED & CO, BIEBUYCK SOLICITORS, DIXON & CO & ORS RE SOLICITORS ACT 1974 [2006] EWHC (TIMOTHY DUTTON KC AND PATRICIA ROBERTSON ACTING)</u> USED TO INTEGRATE THE STOLEN PROCEEDS	
1)	WHAT IS INTEGRATION?	1763
2)	THE OSTENSIBLE PURPOSE OF THE COMPLENSATION FUND CASE	1763-1766
3)	THE REAL PURPOSE OF THE COMPENSATION FUND CASE	1766-1777
4)	CASES IN WHICH JUDGES HAVE DETERMINED THE WRONGLY WORDED APPLICATIONS MADE UNDER THE WRONG (PARA 6(4) AND PARA 9(8)) PROCEDURES OR HAVE ENDORSED THE MAKING OF THEM	1777-1813

E THE LAW SOCIETY AND THE JUDICIARY'S CRUEL AND INHUMAN TREATMENT OF SOLICITORS.

1	MATERIALS	
1)	THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK	1816-1846

2)	PUBLICATIONS	1847-1907
3)	EXTRACTS FROM 'THE TORTURE LAWYERS' JENS DAVID OHLIN. CORNELL UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL	1908-1913
4)	LITERARY REFERENCES	1914-1918

2 THE NATURE OF THE TORTURE

- 1) THE IRREVERSIBLE DESTRUCTION OF THE VICTIM'S LIFE WITHIN A SINGLE MOMENT OF TIME BY SOME INCOMPREHENSIBLE AND IMPOSSIBLE MEANS
- 2) THE WEARING DOWN OF THE VICTIM ON THE TREADMILL OF LITIGATION UNTIL HE GIVES UP, OR DIES
- 3) NO RELIEF FROM TORTURE BY THE JUSTICE SYSTEM BECAUSE THE JUDICIARY ARE THE VICTIM'S TORTURERS
- 4) THE VICTIM'S LIFE GOVERNED BY CONVICTIONS WHICH CAN END THE CIVILIZED WORLD
- 5) THE VICTIM'S REALISATION THAT THE UK IS A LAWLESS STATE WITH NO REGARD FOR HUMAN RIGHTS, THE RULE OF LAW OR DEMOCRATIC PRINCIPLES
- 6) THE VICTIM'S REALISATION THAT HE HAS SPENT HIS LIFE WORKING AS A SLAVE

3 THE REASONS FOR TORTURE

- | | | |
|----|---|-----------|
| 1) | TORTURE AS REFORM. THE PRETENCE OF LAWFUL SANCTION. THE USE OF THE EUPHEMISM 'INTERVENTION' | 1919-1920 |
| 2) | TORTURE TO FACILITATE THE LAW SOCIETY'S SCAMS, THEFTS AND FRAUDS | |
| 3) | POLITICALLY AND FINANCIALLY MOTIVATED REASONS | |
| 4) | TORTURE AS SADISM | |

4 THE USE OF TORTURE IN THE LAW SOCIETY'S INTERVENTION FRAUD

5 THE LAW SOCIETY AND THE JUDICIARY'S TORTURE TECHNIQUES

PART 2

THE EVOLUTION OF THE INTERVENTION PROVISIONS IN THE SOLICITORS ACTS OF
1941, 1957, 1965 AND 1974

A THE SOLICITORS ACTS OF 1941, 1957, 1965 AND 1974 (AS ENACTED) AND THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE ACT 1985: THE MATERIAL PROVISIONS AND PASSAGE THROUGH PARLIAMENT

1	LEGEND	1
2	SOLICITORS ACT 1941	2-19
3	SOLICITORS ACT 1957	20-49
4	SOLICITORS ACT 1965	50-100
5	SOLICITORS ACT 1974 AS ENACTED (BUT WITH S.44B AMENDMENT)	101-230
6	THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE ACT 1985	230-289
7	DISCHARGE OF COUNCIL'S FUNCTIONS AND DELEGATION	290-295

B THE SOLICITORS ACTS OF 1941, 1957 AND 1965: THE EVOLUTION OF THE SCHEDULE 1 PROVISIONS THE EVOLUTION OF SCHEDULE 1

1	SOLICITORS ACT 1941	296-306
2	SOLICITORS ACT 1957	307-313
3	SOLICITORS ACT 1965	314-382

C HOW FOR NEARLY 50 YEARS THE LAW SOCIETY HAS BAMBOOZLED, DUPED AND DECEIVED PARLIAMENT, THE HIGH COURT, COURT OF APPEAL, SUPREME COURT AND THE EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

1	PARLIAMENTARY PROCESSES	383-387
2	THE SOLICITORS ACT 1974, THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE ACT 1985 AND THE DISCHARGE OF COUNCIL'S FUNCTIONS AND DELEGATION	388-411

3	HOW THE LAW SOCIETY EXPLOITED PARLIAMENT'S CONFUSION AND IGNORANCE ABOUT THE INTERVENTION PROCEDURE	412-541
4	MATERIALS RELEVANT TO SECTION 5	542-804
5	SINCE 1974 JUDGES HAVE BEEN DETERMINING THE WRONG APPLICATION MADE IN THE WRONG PROCEDURE IN INTERVENTIONS WHICH HAVE NEVER TAKEN PLACE UNDER PROVISIONS WHICH PARLIAMENT HAS NOT KNOWINGLY ENACTED	805-1112