

**B WHY ALL INTERVENTIONS UNDER THE LAW SOCIETY'S UNLAWFUL PROCEDURE HAVE TO BE SET ASIDE *EX DEBITO JUSTITIA*?**

There is no place here for any sort of in depth analysis of the legal effect of unlawful acts. The authorities are well known : Anisminic v Foreign Compensation Commission [1969] 2 AC 147, F Hoffmann-La Roche & Co AG v Secretary of State for Trade and Industry [1975] AC 295, 365 and Boddington v British Transport Police [1999] 2 AC 143 ) recently applied by the Supreme Court in R (on the application of Majera (formerly SM (Rwanda)) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2021] UKSC 46

The other relevant principle is that 'Fraud or collusion unravels everything' per Lord Denning in Campbell v Edwards [1976] 1 All ER 785

An application is made for quashing of the Schedule 1 Interventions and a declaration that they are all *ab initio* void and unlawful, which the courts have no choice but to make.